

## First Selection Test — Solutions

**Problem 1.** Given  $k$  positive integers  $n_1, \dots, n_k$ , define  $d_1 = 1$  and

$$d_i = \frac{(n_1, \dots, n_{i-1})}{(n_1, \dots, n_i)}, \quad i = 2, \dots, k,$$

where  $(m_1, \dots, m_\ell)$  denotes the highest common factor of the integers  $m_1, \dots, m_\ell$ . Prove that the sums

$$\sum_{i=1}^k a_i n_i, \quad a_i \in \{1, \dots, d_i\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

are pairwise distinct modulo  $n_1$ .

**Solution.** Suppose, if possible, that two of these sums, say  $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i n_i$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^k b_i n_i$ , are congruent modulo  $n_1$  and let  $j$  be the largest index such that  $a_j \neq b_j$ . Notice that  $(n_1, \dots, n_{j-1})$  divides  $\sum_{i=1}^j (a_i - b_i) n_i$ , to deduce that  $(n_1, \dots, n_{j-1})$  divides  $(a_j - b_j) n_j$ , so  $d_j$  divides  $(a_j - b_j) n_j / (n_1, \dots, n_j)$ . Finally, notice that  $d_j$  and  $n_j / (n_1, \dots, n_j)$  are coprime, to infer that  $d_j$  divides  $a_j - b_j$ , which is a contradiction since  $1 \leq |a_j - b_j| < d_j$ . The conclusion follows.

**Problem 2.** Let  $ABCD$  be a cyclic quadrangle such that none of the triangles  $BCD$  and  $CDA$  is equilateral. Prove that, if the Simson line of  $A$  in the triangle  $BCD$  and the Euler line of this triangle are perpendicular, then so are the Simson line of  $B$  in the triangle  $CDA$  and the Euler line of this triangle.

**Solution.** Without loss of generality, we may (and will) assume that the circle  $ABCD$  is centred at the origin  $O$ , and has unit radius. Let  $z$  denote the turn of a point  $Z$ . Let  $M$  and  $N$  be the orthogonal projections of  $A$  onto the lines  $BC$  and  $BD$ , respectively. The line  $MN$  is the Simson line of  $A$  in the triangle  $BCD$ , and

$$m = \frac{1}{2} \left( a + b + c - \frac{bc}{a} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad n = \frac{1}{2} \left( a + b + d - \frac{bd}{a} \right). \quad (*)$$

Let  $H$  be the orthocentre of the triangle  $BCD$ . The lines  $MN$  and  $HO$  are perpendicular if and only if

$$\frac{m - n}{b + c + d} + \frac{\bar{m} - \bar{n}}{\bar{b} + \bar{c} + \bar{d}} = 0.$$

On account of  $(*)$ , this is the case if and only if  $ab + ac + ad + bc + bd + cd = 0$ , which is symmetric in  $a, b, c, d$ . The conclusion follows.

**Problem 3.** Given two finite sets  $A$  and  $B$  of real numbers, and an element  $x$  of their Minkowski sum  $A + B$ , show that

$$|A \cap (x - B)| \leq \frac{|A - B|^2}{|A + B|}.$$

**Solution.** Rewrite the inequality as

$$|\{(a, b, c) : a \in A, b \in B, c \in A + B, a + b = x\}| \leq |(A - B) \times (A - B)|. \quad (*)$$

Next, define an injection of the set on the left-hand side into  $(A - B) \times (A - B)$  as follows. Choose, for each  $c \in A + B$ , elements  $a_c \in A$  and  $b_c \in B$  such that  $c = a_c + b_c$ , and assign to each triple  $(a, b, c)$  in the set on the left-hand side of  $(*)$  the pair  $(a - b_c, a_c - b) \in (A - B) \times (A - B)$ . Using the identity  $c = x - (a - b_c) + (a_c - b)$ , it is readily checked that the assignment is injective. The conclusion follows.

**Problem 4.** Prove that the edges of a planar finite simple graph may be oriented in a such a way that the outdegree of each vertex be at most three.

**Solution.** Assign an arbitrary orientation to each edge of the graph. The key ingredient is the lemma below.

**Lemma.** *There is a directed path from any vertex which is not a sink (i.e., of positive outdegree) to some vertex whose outdegree is at most 2.*

Assume the lemma for the moment and let  $x$  be a vertex whose outdegree exceeds 3 (if any). By the lemma, there is a directed path from  $x$  to some vertex  $y$  of outdegree at most 2. Reversal of the orientation of every edge along this path decreases the outdegree of  $x$  by 1 and increases that of  $y$  by 1, so the latter does not exceed 3. Iteration of this procedure eventually yields an orientation having the desired property.

To prove the lemma, notice that every directed planar graph  $G = (V, E)$  has a vertex of outdegree at most 2, for

$$\sum_{x \in V} \text{outdeg } x = |E| \leq 3(|V| - 2).$$

Now let  $x \in V$  have a positive outdegree and let  $V'$  be the set of all vertices to which there exists a directed path from  $x$ . Clearly, the edges connecting  $V'$  and  $V \setminus V'$  must enter  $V'$ , so deletion of these edges makes  $V'$  into the vertex set of a directed subgraph  $G'$  each vertex of which has the same outdegree as before. Since  $G'$  is planar, it has a vertex of outdegree at most 2 and we are through.

**Problem 5.** Let  $p$  and  $q$  be coprime positive integer numbers. A  $(p + q)$ -element set of real numbers  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{p+q}$  is *balanced* if  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p$  form an arithmetic sequence with common difference  $q$ , and  $a_p, a_{p+1}, \dots, a_{p+q}$  form an arithmetic sequence with common difference  $p$ . Determine the maximum number of balanced  $(p + q)$ -element sets no two of which are disjoint.

**Solution.** The required maximum is  $p + \max(p, q)$ . Notice that two balanced sets have a nonempty intersection, if and only if one is the image of the other through a translation by a number of the form  $kp + \ell q$ , where  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, q\}$  and  $\ell \in \{0, \dots, p - 1\}$ . Therefore, the required maximum coincides with the maximum number of distinct integers of the form  $kp + \ell q$ ,  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, q\}$ ,  $\ell \in \{0, \dots, p - 1\}$ , whose mutual differences have absolute values of the same form.

We shall prove that the latter maximum is  $p + \max(p, q)$ . Let  $r = \max(p, q)$  and suppose, to the contrary, that there exist  $p + r + 1$  such integers. Label these integers  $k_i p + \ell_i q$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p + r + 1$ , in lexicographic order:  $k_i \leq k_{i+1}$ , and  $\ell_i < \ell_{i+1}$  whenever  $k_i = k_{i+1}$ .

We first show that there is an index  $i$  such that  $k_i < k_{i+1}$  and  $\ell_i > \ell_{i+1}$ . To this end, notice that there are at most  $q$  inequalities  $k_i < k_{i+1}$ , so at least  $p + r - q \geq p$  equalities  $k_i = k_{i+1}$ , hence at least  $p$  inequalities  $\ell_i < \ell_{i+1}$ . This is impossible if  $k_i \leq k_{i+1}$  and  $\ell_i \leq \ell_{i+1}$  whatever  $i$ , since  $0 \leq \ell_i \leq p - 1$ .

Now fix an index  $i$  such that  $k_i < k_{i+1}$  and  $\ell_i > \ell_{i+1}$ , and recall that  $|(k_i p + \ell_i q) - (k_{i+1} p + \ell_{i+1} q)| = kp + \ell q$  for some  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, q\}$  and some  $\ell \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ . Explicitly, either  $(k_i - k_{i+1})p + (\ell_i - \ell_{i+1})q = kp + \ell q$  or  $(k_{i+1} - k_i)p + (\ell_{i+1} - \ell_i)q = kp + \ell q$ . Since  $p$  and  $q$  are coprime, the former implies  $\ell_i - \ell_{i+1} \equiv \ell \pmod{p}$ , and the latter,  $k_{i+1} - k_i \equiv k \pmod{q}$ . Taking into account ranges of values, the first congruence forces equality, which in turn leads to a contradiction:  $0 > k_i - k_{i+1} = k \geq 0$ . In the second case we reach the same contradiction unless  $k_{i+1} = q$  and  $k_i = k = 0$ . So

$$k_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j = 1, \dots, i, \\ q & \text{if } j = i+1, \dots, p+r. \end{cases}$$

Hence there are at least  $(p+r-1)/2$  successive equalities  $k_j = k_{j+1}$ , so at least as many successive inequalities  $\ell_j < \ell_{j+1}$  among the corresponding  $\ell$ 's. Since the number of such inequalities among the  $\ell$ 's does not exceed  $p-1$ , it follows that  $(p+r-1)/2 \leq p-1$ , or  $r+1 \leq p$ , which is impossible.

Consequently, there are at most  $p + \max(p, q)$  integers having the desired property. The examples below show that this is indeed the maximum number of such integers. For more convenience, we exhibit the corresponding  $k_j$  and  $\ell_j$ : If  $p < q$ , the  $k_j$  are  $0, 1, 2, \dots, q-1, \underbrace{q, q, \dots, q}_p$ , and the  $\ell_j$  are  $\underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{q+1}, 1, 2, \dots, p-1$ ; and if  $p > q$ , the  $k_j$  are  $\underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_p, \underbrace{q, q, \dots, q}_p$ , and the  $\ell_j$  are  $0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1, 0, 1, \dots, p-1$ . The verifications are straightforward.